

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,636	07/15/2003		John MacNeil	WLJ.070D	5656
20987	7590	02/02/2005		EXAM	INER
VOLENTIN	IE FRAN	NCOS, & WHITT I	PHAM, THANHHA S		
ONE FREED		=			
11951 FREE	DOM DR	IVE SUITE 1260	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DESTON VA 20100				2010	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/618,636	JOHN MACNEIL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thanhha Pham	2813				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	p ars on the cover sheet with the	c rr spondence addr ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 L	December 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ Thi	s action is non-final.					
$\cdot = \cdot \cdot$	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,9-11 and 13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3 and 9 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,11 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>09/760,820</u> . ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/15/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Response to Restriction Requirement dated 12/09/2004.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1-3 and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 12/09/2004.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 10-11 and 13 in the reply filed on 12/09/2004 is acknowledged.

Oath/Declaration

3. Oath/Declaration dated 07/15/2003 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an

Art Unit: 2813

application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 4. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bjorkman et al. [US 6,340,435].
- With respect to claim 10, Bjorkman et al. (fig. 1B, abstract, cols. 2-6) discloses the claimed stack of dielectric layers (10/12, col. 6 lines 1-7) when in each layer is formed a different material (material of the first low-k dielectric layer 10 is a different material to the material of the second low-k dielectric layer 12 since composition of the first low-k dielectric layer 10 contains more C and H than composition of the second low-k dielectric layer 12), the materials having detectably different etch characteristics (the first low-k dielectric layer 10 has an etch rate at least 3 times lower than the second low-k dielectric layer 12) but generally equal dielectric constants (both of the first low-k dielectric layer 10 and the second low-k dielectric 12 have a dielectric less than 3).
- ▶ With respect to claim 11, Bojorkman et al. (col. 6 lines 1-7) discloses wherein the selectivity between adjacent layers (10 and 12) is at least 2.5:1.
- 5. Claims 10, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al. [US 6,255,735].
- With respect to claim 10, Wang et al. (fig. 3, abstract, cols. 1-8) discloses the claimed stack of dielectric layers (14/18, col. 6 lines 1-11) when in each layer is formed a different material (*material of the first low-k dielectric layer 14 is of HSQ when material of the second low-k dielectric layer 18 is of BCB*), the materials having detectably different etch characteristics (abstract: *the first low-k dielectric layer 14 and the second low-k dielectric layer 18 are different from one another so that they have*

Application/Control Number: 10/618,636

Art Unit: 2813

dfferent sensitivity to at least one etchant chemistry) but generally equal dielectric constants (both of the first low-k dielectric layer 14 and the second low-k dielectric 18 have generally equal dielectric constants because the first low-k dielectric layer 14 (HSQ) and the second low-k dielectric 18 (BCB) have low k dielectric constants).

Page 4

- With respect to claim 11, Wang et al. (abstract) shows wherein the selectivity between adjacent layers (first and second low-k dielectric layers) is at least 2.5 (by employing an etch chemistry that etches only the second low-k dielectric material (the second low-k dielectric 18) and not the first low-k dielectric material (the first low-k dielectric 14)).
- ▶ With respect to claim 13, Wang et al. (col. 6 lines 1-11) discloses the first low-k dielectric layer (14) being of HSQ and the second low-k dielectric layer (18) being of BCB. In the stack of dielectric layers of Wang, the difference in dielectric constants of the materials (HSQ and BCB) of the adjacent layers (first and second low-k dielectric layers 14 and 18) varies by less than 10% (HSQ having a dielectric constant of 2.2-2.7 and BCB having a dielectric constant about 2.7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjorkman et al. [US 6,340,435].

With respect to claim 13, the claimed range of difference between the dielectric constants of the materials of adjacent layers would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan practicing the invention because, absent evidence of disclosure of criticality for the range giving unexpected results, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Furthermore, it appears that these changes produce no functional differences and therefore would have been obvious. See *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhha Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday 9:00AM - 9:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2813

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanhha Pham Patent Examiner

Patent Examining Group 2800